11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 1 A meeting of the Planning Board was held on Monday, April 3, 2017 at the
- 2 Hampstead Town Hall, 11 Main Street, Hampstead, NH. The meeting was
- 3 broadcast live over HCTV 17.
- 4

5 PRESENT: Paul Carideo, (Chairman), Ben Schmitz, (Vice Chairman), Dean Howard,
 6 Glen Emerson, Chad Bennett (Ex-Officio), Randy Clark (Alternate), Chris Howard
 7 (Alternate), and Scott Bourcier (Dubois and King)

8

9 Old Business

- 10 <u>Continued Public Hearings (from 03.06.17)</u>
- 11 1. <u>17-025- Central Street 3 Lot Subdivision, Belle Maison Cont'd Public Hearing</u>
- 12 Chairman Carideo stepped off the Board for this hearing due to a conflict with the
- 13 developer. C. Howard was appointed to take his seat for this hearing, and R. Clark
- 14 was appointed to sit in for the absent N. Emerson for the meeting. Vice Chair, B.
- 15 Schmitz took over the hearing.
- 16 J. Lavelle of James Lavelle Associates recapped the status of the application. He
- 17 reported that there was a department head review of the plan recently and
- 18 some of the changes are reflected in the map presented to the Planning Board
- 19 (The office was not left with the amended plan)
- 20 He stated that they are looking to subdivide the lot in three parcels one at 3.18
- 21 acres, one at 4.29 acres and one at 4.53 acres. The smallest lot at the southern
- 22 end will be given to the property located at Map 12 lot 30. There is also an
- 23 easement going to the Conservation Commission near existing easements around
- the brook. He explained that the interior sheets of the map showed the lots and
- the setbacks from the wetlands. The map set has a revision date of March 29,
- 26 2017 based on latest review letter from Dubois & King of March 24, 2017. Mr.
- 27 Lavelle reported that he walked the frontage with the Road Agent to review the
- 28 driveway calculations. He noted that the Road Agent contacted the State of NH
- 29 Dept. of Transportation (NHDOT) to see what the required distance is from Route
- 30 111. The Road Agent informed J. Lavelle that it was 200 feet and that they have
- 31 met that requirement. The driveways were approved by the Road Agent
- 32 according to J. Lavelle.
- 33 J. Lavelle stated that at the Department Head review, the Fire Department
- 34 requested a hydrant be placed in the Webber Road/Central Street area. The Fire
- 35 Department noted that they would leave it up to Hampstead Area Water
- 36 Company (HAWC) as to the actual location. J. Lavelle reported that Charlie Lanza

Page 1 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

37 of HAWC reviewed the plans today and noted that they would like to put the hydrant on the south side of Central Street and they will confirm this with the 38 Fire Department. B. Schmitz asked S. Bourcier if he had time to review the 39 40 changes. S. Bourcier stated that he had reviewed the plan today so that it would 41 be ready for this evening and a new review letter dated April 3, 2017, which J. 42 Lavelle was given at this meeting. S. Bourcier noted that there are discrepancies 43 on the lot sizes. He noted that each of the revisions submitted have had slight 44 variances in the square footage. He noted that what was more concerning to him is the lot size calculations. He stated that the lot sizing lists the amounts but 45 there is nothing to show how they reached the numbers. He explained that 46 47 without knowing how they got to their numbers he had to regenerate the 48 report. He pointed out that he doesn't like doing this because it takes more time, which costs more to the applicant. S. Bourcier explained to J. Lavelle and 49 50 the Board members how he got to his numbers. He pointed out that the 51 numbers he came up with could affect what would be allowed on the lot. J. 52 Lavelle stated that the process S. Bourcier used was foreign to him and he has 53 been working in the Town for 20 years or more and worked with many 54 engineering companies in Hampstead and the surrounding Towns. He stated 55 that the Engineers have always agreed with how he has done his calculations. He 56 then asked S. Bourcier if this difference could be resolved. S. Bourcier replied 57 that if he made an error for J. Lavelle to point it out. He said that he wants to 58 understand his process. They agreed that someone from James Lavelle Associates would meet with S. Bourcier to go over the numbers and calculations. 59 60 B. Schmitz pointed out that it would be best to settle the confusion. 61 62 J. Lavelle pointed out that there are at least two outstanding items on the project with the first being the issue with the soils and State Subdivision 63 approval. He asked if the Planning Board would grant conditional approval 64 65 subject to these two items. He noted that he believes the three lots will meet

66 the calculations for duplexes on each lot and if not, then they will meet it for a

- 67 single residence. It was noted that there also needs to be the submission of the
- 68 Conservation Easement and Fire Department approval on the HAWC letter
- 69 regarding hydrant placement.
- 70
- 71 The hearing was opened to the public.

Page 2 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

72 V Shelley- 1 Victoria Lane- She asked about the water source and if they are 73 putting in a hydrant would the new homes be hooked up to the water system. 74 She pointed out that her concern has been with water supply especially where 75 there were a few homes along the Central Street area that had wells that went 76 dry in 2016. J. Lavelle responded that they probably wouldn't be connected and 77 stated that it was the builder's choice. He also pointed out that the developer 78 could sell the lots and the new owner could choose to hook up to the water 79 system. V. Shelley also asked about the potential road damage with construction 80 vehicles. She already has a concern with the condition of the road. G. Emerson stated that the Road Agent had not pointed out any concerns with the road 81 during the department head meeting. He also pointed out that the equipment 82 83 wouldn't be on the road other than the dump trucks. 84 85 S. Tessier- 5 Victoria Lane- She asked about the perc test for the septic systems 86 and whether or not that had been completed. She also asked if it was, and when 87 it was done whereas last year was such a dry year. She also asked if how far the 88 septic would be from their wells on the third lot. J. Lavelle explained to the two 89 abutters where the proposed home would be built on the lot. He also stated 90 that the test pits were done this year while snow was on the ground. He said 91 that there is a 40,000 square foot area that is suitable for the septic. He also 92 pointed out that this doesn't mean this is where it would go and that the builder 93 could place it anywhere within the lot. S. Tessier asked if the test pit shows that 94 it can have two systems on the lot. She was told no it is to show how many 95 bedrooms the proposed structure would be allowed. D. Howard also pointed out 96 that the designs must meet the setbacks so the designer needs to locate the 97 abutter's wells and septic systems to keep the required distance. He also 98 mentioned that the wetland across Central Street would have a setback 99 requirement. 100 101 R. Lesure- 18 Central Street- He asked if the wetlands across from the proposed development have a setback, had they located his well. He stated that it is

development have a setback, had they located his well. He stated that it is
 supposed to be in the front yard and he has no idea where. He also asked if they

104 did the test pits by hand because he saw no machines at the site. J. Lavelle said

105 that they went over the stonewall. R. Lesure asked that the Planning Board not

106 grant conditional approval tonight whereas there was a concern on the soils, and

Page 3 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 107 whether or not approval would be for duplex homes. He said that he didn't want
- 108 to read in the paper the update.
- 109 P. Perrone- 8 Gloria's Way He asked J. Lavelle if there are going to be six
- 110 duplexes would they each have their own driveway? J. Lavelle responded that
- 111 there were three driveways with one for each lot.
- 112
- 113 M. Marawski- 15 Victoria Lane- He asked if any consideration had been given to the
- 114 potential traffic impact this would create. J. Lavelle responded that they meet
- 115 the site distance and that the locations of the driveways had been determined
- 116 while walking with the Road Agent.
- 117
- 118 P. Perrone- Gloria's Way pointed out that with six residences you have the
- potential of 15 cars coming and going from those three driveways never mind
- 120 company or parties at those locations.
- 121 Public Hearing was closed at 7:38 p.m.
- 122

123 R. Clark asked S. Bourcier about the calculations and the variations on the lots. S. 124 Bourcier stated that he took lots and calculated the effective area of those three 125 lots and for the duplexes took a weighted average. He has an issue with the results because it determines that two of the lots wouldn't meet the 126 127 requirements for a duplex and one would. R. Clark asked if the lot lines could 128 change and J. Lavelle said that it was possible. R. Clark responded that if they 129 were to change to one duplex and two singles based on the soil calculations from 130 Dubois & King they could vote tonight, but if they do and they change the lot 131 lines, they would need to come back to the Planning Board. J. Lavelle deffered to the applicant John Mason for his input. J. Mason asked for J. Lavelle and S. 132 133 Bourcier to work it out and if there is a lot line adjustment, they would come 134 back to the Planning Board. He complained about lost time on the project and 135 that he can't take the chance of a further delay on the project. R. Clark replied 136 that they still need the State Subdivision approval. J. Lavelle said that they 137 stopped the approval when they had the revision after the Dubois & King review 138 letter and told them they would resubmit the changed plans. B. Schmitz said the 139 options are to approve the project with contingencies tonight or vote to 140 continue it to the May meeting and hope the contingencies are met. J. Mason 141 said that a month was a long time to wait. After a short discussion, B. Schmitz

Page 4 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 142 offered up to come back to the Planning Board on Monday, April 17, when a
- 143 workshop was scheduled to continue the public hearing.
- 144
- 145 MOTION: D. Howard motioned to continue the public hearing for Belle
- 146 Maison, LLC, a 3-lot subdivision located at Map 17-025, Central Street/Route
- 147 **111 to the April 17 meeting.**
- 148 SECOND by: G. Emerson.
- 149 **VOTE ON MOTION: 7-0.**
- 150 The public was told that the application would be continued to April 17th and he
- 151 told J. Lavelle and S. Bourcier to get together ASAP.
- 152

154

153 New Business

- 1. BOND -09-060, Hastings Drive Subdivision
- 155 (P. Carideo stayed off the board for this discussion due to a conflict with the
- 156 developer and C. Howard continued in his seat)
- 157 D. Jordan of MHF Design Group and J. Mason were present to discuss the issues
- 158 with the proposed bond amount. The bond request from Dubois & King is at
- 159 \$922,100 and the developer would like to go over the various items picked up in
- 160 the bond and also to look at doing some of the work in phases. The request was
- 161 made to do the work on the water lines and once installed and tested, and then
- apply for building permits for lots 1, 2 and 3. D. Jordan explained that this was
- 163 more of a cash flow issue. He said that they made a couple of small changes after
- 164 meeting with the department heads on March 29, while Mr. Mason was also
- present to discuss the Central Street project. S. Bourcier agreed to discuss theitems in the bond request after that meeting.
- 167 The changes are in lot 1, there is a single driveway and lots 2 and 3 share a single
- 168 driveway all the way with no split. D. Jordan said that they will need to do the
- driveways and culvert crossings there as well. Lots 4-7 have a common driveway
- 170 that will be a private drive with no maintenance by the Town. He stated that it
- 171 was being built to Town standards to accommodate the fire apparatus, not for
- 172 the Town to maintain. There was a letter from Deputy Fire Chief Warnock that
- was read by B. Schmitz. In the letter, he recommends that if the Planning Board
- gives approval, to phase in the hydrants. Lots 1, 2, and 3 would be built first and
- 175 the first hydrant at the corner of Wash Pond and Hastings Drive must be in
- 176 service and produce at least 500 G.P.M. (gallons per minute). The hydrant on

Page 5 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

177 Hastings Drive must be in service before starting construction on lots 4 and 5 with 500 G.P.M. The final hydrant would need to be in service prior to 178 179 construction on lots 6 and 7. In the letter, he pointed out that they asked for the 180 driveway on lots 1 and 2 to be a common driveway up to the bifurcation. He 181 stated that he felt this would be better for a wider single driveway and fire 182 apparatus access. D. Jordan said that they were okay with the letter from the 183 Fire Department. P. Carideo asked to speak as a resident. He said that he was here to talk on the 184 185 bonding. He said that in the past the Town consultant only bonded for a couple of things and that the Town got caught with their pants down a couple of times. 186 187 The new consultant (Dubois & King) were told to bond everything and that is 188 what this bond is. He stated that his personal opinion is that this is above and 189 beyond what the Town should be bonding. He explained that the bonds are to 190 protect the towns' interest but the water line going in is not a municipal water 191 line but a private company and the Town should not be in the business of water 192 lines. He pointed out that we (the Town) would not be going in and hooking up 193 private homes to the water system if the work is incomplete. He said that proper 194 direction was not given to Dubois & King and there are nuances to the Town of 195 Hampstead that the Engineer wouldn't yet know about. He pointed out items 196 such as movable signs and flaggers. He pointed out that the board needs to look 197 at the bond and see what is necessary for the subdivision and what is necessary 198 in the right of way such as monuments, erosion control loam and seeding and 199 scrap everything else. J. Lavelle spoke and said that he was flabbergasted at the 200 amount of the bond and stated that some of the items could be tied in to the 201 certificate of occupancy (CO). D. Jordan agreed with protecting the ROW (right of way) and understands the Town needs to protect itself if the developer walks 202 203 away. Someone noted that the lots could be sold to individual homeowners and 204 the lots aren't maintained by the Town. R. Clark said that a single owner for a 205 single lot is responsible and that a development of 7 lots could be sold to 7 206 individuals or 1 or 2 individuals and the developer goes bankrupt and unable to 207 fulfill their obligation, the homeowners would want the work done per the plans 208 and there is no one to do it. He also stated that he agrees with P. Carideo's 209 comments. J. Mason offered to run the hydrants but what if he sells the lots and 210 is gone, those owners will see need to have the water line put in or drill wells. J.

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 211 Mason responded that they could put in wells if they want now and said he
- 212 doesn't need to supply the water.
- 213 B. Schmitz asked S. Bourcier that given the information tonight and bonding for
- what is in the right of way (erosions/sediment, loaming to stabilize the lots or
- reclamation of the lot etc.) S. Bourcier was all set to redo the bond calculation.
- B. Schmitz asked J. Mason if the phasing in would work. J. Mason responded that
- this is his bread and butter, his livelihood and how he feeds his family and he
- could see up to \$200,000 for the bond. S. Bourcier agreed to rework the numbers
- and will have them available for the April 17 meeting. He did some quick
- calculations and it was cut roughly in half. D. Jordan said that the water line is
- private and if the project were to stop, the town won't extend it. D. Howard also
- said any material or piping won't need to be in the bond. C. Bennett asked if any
 money should be set aside for repairs to the road. D. Jordan said that they would
- be in the shoulder doing work. S. Bourcier said that the has grubbed up with
- loam, seed and stabilization. The final number will be available for the April 17th
- 226 meeting.
- 227 P. Carideo also explained that we usually ask for \$5,000 to be placed in
- 228 Engineering Escrow.
- 229 D. Howard said that he was okay with the \$200,000. G. Emerson asked a question 230 about the current set of plans given to board tonight and if they were the most 231 current plans. The response was yes, and G. Emerson pointed out that this set of 232 plans includes a water line to all the units with no wells and this is the plan that was approved by the Planning Board. C. Howard was okay. C. Bennett said that it 233 234 needs more work and that \$200,000 to \$250,000 would be good and to hold a 235 portion back. P. Carideo noted that the retention is not in our regulations and would need to be added in. R. Waldron was okay. D. Howard emphasized that 236 Dubois & King did nothing wrong in preparing the bond and did what they were 237 238 asked to do with the information they were given.
- 239

240 MOTION: R. Clark motioned to ask S. Bourcier of Dubois & King recalculate

- 241 $\,$ the bond for Hastings Drive 09-060, based on the information given this $\,$
- 242 evening and for it not to exceed \$200,000.
- 243 SECOND: D. Howard.
- 244 **VOTE ON MOTION: 7-0.**
- 245

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 246 MOTION: R. Clark requested that \$5,000 be submitted by the applicant and
- 247 to be held in Engineering Escrow.
- 248 SECOND: R. Waldron.
- 249 **VOTE ON MOTION: 7-0.**
- 250

251 Chairman Carideo returned to the Board at 8:23 p.m.

- 252
- 253 2. Bond- 10-004 Blue Sky Towers, LLC
- 254 Chad Bennett stepped down for this discussion. No one took his place.
- 255 Dubois & King prepared the bond for the proposed cell tower to be located at
- 256 311 Kent Farm Road. The bond is to cover Erosion and Sediment costs. T.
- 257 Harrington noted that Mr. Duval thought the bond would be for removal and was
- willing to put both bonds up if required. The Board was more concerned with
- the putting back to its current condition if the project defaulted. There were no issues and no public comments.
- 261 MOTION: R. Waldron motioned to approve the bond for Blue Sky Towers, LLC
- 262 map and lot 10-004 in the amount of \$28,300.
- 263 SECOND: R. Clark.
- 264 **VOTE ON MOTION: 6-0.**
- 265
- 266 **MOTION: R. Waldron requested that \$5,000 be submitted by the applicant** 267 and to be held in Engineering Escrow.
- 268 SECOND: G. Emerson.
- 269 **VOTE ON MOTION: 6-0.**
- 270

271 OTHER PUBLIC MATTERS

272 1. 10 Main Street 17-016- Beyond Vanilla Ice Cream Shop-Conceptual Discussion 273 Paul Antosh, owner of the Beyond Vanilla Ice Cream business asked to meet with 274 the Planning Board to discuss his options on expanding his current business. He 275 explained that he would like to extend his operating hours to be open earlier in 276 the day and to serve items such as coffee and baked goods and possibly 277 sandwiches. He told the Planning Board that in speaking with K. Emerson, they 278 went over the septic load and P. Antosh said that he would be using paper 279 products so that there would be limited water use. The records show that the 280 original ice cream business was granted a change of use and the hours of

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

281 business were approved noon to 6 pm for ice cream. The septic was approved for 375 gallons per day with 3 low flow dippers and 3 employees. No approved 282 283 septic system was submitted or allotted seats for the business were provided, 284 according to a letter from K. Emerson on the original change of use. The parking 285 was guickly discussed in that the ice cream shop would share the parking with 286 the English Muffin whereas their hours only overlapped for a short period of 287 time. If his business was to be open earlier in the day, it would compete with the 288 parking spots with the other businesses. As the discussion continued, P. Antosh asked the Planning Board what the definition of "an ice cream store" was. He 289 290 suggested selling brownies, which could be used for ice cream. He also explained 291 that what an ice cream store was when this was approved across the street isn't 292 the same type of business it would be today. It was pointed out by some of the 293 members that an "ice cream shop" is just that and stated that if he needed to do 294 more, apply for a change in use or look at a different location. The Planning 295 Board recommended that he speak with the property owner and get a septic 296 plan update with the current businesses. If it is determined that the septic could 297 hold any change in use, he would then need an amended site plan to bring forward the application. P. Carideo pointed out that while looking at the current 298 299 site plan (from 2005) the numbers for parking are not adding up and they actually 300 look like they are short on parking and that an amended site plan would be 301 important.

302

303

- **Planning Board Matters and Correspondence**
- 304 1. Engineering Comments-

305 P. Carideo emphasized that S. Bourcier did nothing wrong in the preparation of the bond for Hastings Drive. He noted that it was a case of 306 307 each getting used to the other and all of it still being new. There was some discussion on the bond it or build it. R. Clark pointed out that if you build 308 309 instead of bond, the Planning Board will not sign off on the plans until the 310 road is built. There was also discussions about holding onto a bond until 311 the project is complete and not make incremental reductions. P. Carideo 312 said that this would need to run by Town Counsel. P. Carideo suggested that the same time frame for revised plans of two weeks notice be given 313 314 to Dubois & King for a bond reduction requests. S. Bourcier noted that he 315 will consolidate trips to the area when it is time for inspections. He said it is

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

316	more costly to drive over to do one inspection when he can do one or two
317	in Hampstead and then one or two in Chester etc. S. Bourcier was told that
318	if the lots work out for the 17-025 project (Central Street), then he wouldn't
319	need to come to the meeting.
320	2. 12-013 Wetlands Permit and LOMA received and placed in file
321	3. 08-104 Dredge and Fill received for the HMS Access Road- P. Carideo
322	noted that there was an issue with a ditch not draining correctly. There
323	was also some discussion about whether or not the access road was
324	inspected.
325	4. RPC Dues for 2017 are at \$8,516.00
326	5. 18-133 (21 Danville Road), The Conservation copied the Planning Board on
327	a letter to the Board of Adjustment
328	6. Town of Raymond regarding a site walk for a Communications Tower
329	7. 09-060- NHDES Subdivision Apprioval received and placed in folder
330	8. Lewis Builders (HAWC) Street opening Bond received copy valid 8/31/16-
331	8/31/18.
332	No member comments.
333	Minutes for 3/6/17 and 3/20/17 were put off to the April 17 th meeting.
334	
335	
336	MOTION: R. Waldron motioned was made to go in non public session under
337	RSA 91-A III, 2(b) Hiring at 9:45 pm.
338	SECOND by: G. Emerson
339	VOTE on Motion: D. Howard, yes; G. Emerson, yes; C Bennett, yes; B. Schmitz,
340	yes; P. Carideo, yes; R. Waldron, yes; R. Clark, yes. (C. Howard was present)
341	7-0 The Discussion Decard means here no since of the Cive evention to Conthe Discussion Decard
342	The Planning Board members reviewed the five applicants for the Planning Board
343	Secretary and decided on three to interview. The interviews will be scheduled
344 245	half an hour apart starting at 7:30 on April 17 th . The members were asked to get
345 246	some questions together and to forward them to the Secretary. T. Harrington
346 347	stated that everyone should have the list of questions and take turns asking the questions so that they are the same for each applicant.
347 348	MOTION: R. Waldron motioned was made to come out non public session
349	under RSA 91-A III, 2(b) Hiring at 10:15 pm.
350	SECOND by: G. Emerson
550	

Page 10 of 11

11 Main Street, Hampstead, New Hampshire 03841-2033

Approved Minutes of April 3, 2017

- 351 VOTE on Motion: D. Howard, yes; G. Emerson, yes; C Bennett, yes; B. Schmitz,
- 352 yes; P. Carideo, yes; R. Waldron, yes; R. Clark, yes. (C. Howard was present)
 353 7-0
- 353 354
- 355 MOTION: D. Howard motioned to adjourn at 10:15 pm
- 356 SECOND by: R. Waldron
- 357 **VOTE on Motion: 7-0**
- 358 Minutes by: Tina Harrington, Planning Board Secretary
- 359 Approved
- 360 *Date: May 1, 2017*